



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/771,210      | 01/24/2001  | Baaziz Achour        | 990082              | 7350             |

23696 7590 11/24/2003

Qualcomm Incorporated  
Patents Department  
5775 Morehouse Drive  
San Diego, CA 92121-1714

|          |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

QUINONES, ISMAEL C

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
| 2686     | 5            |

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

09/771,210

**Applicant(s)**

ACHOUR, BAAZIZ

**Examiner**

Ismael Quiñones

**Art Unit**

2686

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 January 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2-3.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

***Information Disclosure Statement***

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on January 24, 2002 has being considered by the examiner and made of record in the application file.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. **Claims 1-9, 11, 22-27, 29, and 31-32** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sharma et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,069,871).

Regarding **claim 1**, Sharma et al. disclose a system for improving the efficiency (col. 2, lines 1-2, lines 14-15) of a wireless communications network (Abstract, line 1; col. 2, lines 18-20) employing a plurality of frequencies per cell (col. 4, line 60-63, col. 10, line 63; col. 11, line 22) comprising: first means for monitoring a network load/capacity (capacity requests to each of the system/network base stations) associated with each of said plurality of frequencies (each base station generates a cell or coverage area using two or more frequency carriers; col. 1, 63-67) and providing corresponding status values (capacity indications) in response thereto (col. 5, lines 4-13); second means for comparing said status values to a predetermined criterion and providing an indication

Art Unit: 2686

(net excess capacity value/ NEC) in response thereto when one or more of said status values meet said criterion (col. 2 , lines 50-51) ; and third means for redistributing said network load in accordance with said indication (traffic channel assignment; col. 5, line 27-36, col. 13, lines 49-52).

Regarding **claim 2** and as applied to claim 1, Sharma et al. disclose the system said above wherein said criterion includes one or more predetermined thresholds ( $EFC_{bt}$ ,  $ERC_{bt}$ ,  $ECE_{bt}$ ,  $EW C_{bt}$ ,  $NEC_{bt}$ , where NEC is the net excess capacity threshold that incorporates and depends upon the previous predetermined thresholds, col. 14, lines 58-61, lines 17-59) so that when one or more of said predetermined thresholds is surpassed by said one or more of said status values (col. 14, lines 58-61, lines 13-18), said criterion is met (thresholds are identified on this reference under the suffix *bt*, i.e.  $NEC_{bt}$  *net excess capacity threshold* and NEC *net excess status value or capacity information*).

Regarding **claim 3** and as applied to claim 2, Sharma et al. disclose a system wherein said status values are representative of loading conditions for communications system resources associated with each of said frequencies, said loading conditions representative of currently available resources (col. 14, lines 43-47) allocated for each of said plurality of frequencies (col. 6, lines 18-22; col. 9, lines 48-52).

Regarding **claims 4, 5, 6, and 7**, Sharma et al. disclose the system said in the above claims wherein each of said status values include a hardware resource component (channel elements), an air link resource component (forward and reverse links), and a handling resource component (Walsh codes), each component indicative of respective remaining resources, wherein said hardware resource component incorporates the

Art Unit: 2686

currently channel elements (col. 14, lines 19-21) said air link resource incorporates transmit power available before maximum air link capacity is reached (EFC/ *excess forward link capacity* dependant upon allowable maximum and current forward link power and ERC/*excess reverse link capacity* dependant upon Thermal Noise Floor at the base station and total received power at the base station; col. 8, lines 14-22; See claims 11 and 12, col. 14, lines 13-18), and said handling resource incorporates the available Walsh codes (EWC/*excess number of Walsh codes*; col. 14, lines 22-24), each of the resources associated for a particular frequency (it is inherent that the frequencies assigned to a particular sector within a cell are contained in a base station).

Regarding **claims 8 and 9** and as being dependants to claim 4, Sharma et al. disclose a system wherein said first means includes sector frequency controllers (col. 6, lines 10-11), one for each of said plurality of frequencies in a given sector (each selector bank subsystem is within a base station controller, who at the same time is coupled to a plurality of base stations who defined a coverage area or sector; col. 11, line 64 – col. 12, line 11) wherein each of said sector frequency controllers is in communication with a corresponding call resource manager (A selector bank subsystem that performs both tasks of frequencies and resources allocation, col. 6, lines 18-22).

Regarding **claim 11** and as applied to claim 9, Sharma et al. disclose a system wherein said second means includes software (it is inherent that a system that compares values, stores values, and performs tasks based on priority or selective execution, must comprise a medium such as a computer for programming means, subsequently branching from a software brand) running on each of said sector frequency controllers (A selector

Art Unit: 2686

bank subsystem that includes a selector bank controller that performs resource and frequency allocation, where said selector bank subsystem is coupled to a pilot data base which falls within a layer of a software brand, col. 6, lines 7-15) said software for generating a status value associated with a corresponding frequency (See definitions and equations on col. 8), comparing said status value to one of said predetermined thresholds (See definitions and equations on col. 8; col. 14 lines 58-61), and generating a status message (capacity information) in response thereto (col. 7, lines 9-14).

Regarding **claim 22**, Sharma et al. disclose an efficient wireless communications system that accommodates a plurality of frequencies per cell (col. 10, lines 30-35) with a minimum amount of hardware (it is inherent that multi-frequency distribution within a cell or base station is made for means of improving the system performance such as hardware reduction; col. 2, lines 1-2) comprising: first means establishing communications between a wireless communications device and a second communications device via allocation of communications system resources associated with a given frequency (col. 11, lines 34-36); second means for monitoring said resources associated with said given frequency and providing a signal when said resources match a predetermined criterion (col. 6, lines 58-67 thru col. 7, lines 9-14; the BSC determination is made based on excess capacity responses, See col. 5, lines 5-63); and third means for transferring said communications from said given frequency to a target frequency in response to said signal (col. 7, lines 16-27 thru lines 44-53).

Regarding **claims 23 and 24** and as applied to claim 22, Sharma et al. disclose a system of aforementioned, wherein said second means includes a plurality (a base station

transceiver subsystem and a target base station transceiver subsystem) of base station transceiver subsystem controllers (col. 13, lines 16-17) having a selector frequency controller (selector bank subsystem controller; Fig. 2A item 214; coupled to a base station manager; col. 6, lines 10-11 thru lines 18-22) and a call resource manager (base station manager card in communication with or coupled to a base station manager, col. 6, lines 29-31), said call resource managers built-in the base stations transceiver subsystem controllers (BSC's, both base station transceiver subsystem controller and target base station transceiver subsystem controller) in communication with channel elements on a base station transceiver subsystem associated with said given frequency (col. 6, lines 32-39; col. 12, lines 31-32).

Regarding **claim 25** and as applied to claim 24, Sharma et al. disclose the above mentioned system wherein said third means includes a load-balancing broker (A selector bank subsystem in communication with a pilot database for means of balancing load) in communication with a pilot database (Fig. 2A, item 216) running on a selector element controller in communication with selector elements of a selector bank subsystem of a base station controller (Fig. 2A, item 211; col. 6, line 8) that controls said base station transceiver subsystem and said target base station transceiver subsystem, said base station controller in communication with a landline network via a mobile switching center (MSC, col. 1 lines 26-28, Fig. 1, it is inherent that a BSC is usually coupled to a MSC via landline).

Regarding **claim 26** and as applied to claim 25, Sharma et al. disclose the aforementioned system wherein said mobile switching center includes a call control

processor (Fig. 2B, item 254; col. 6, line 40 thru lines 46-50), a supplementary services adjunct (Fig. 2B, DRAM, SRAM, EPROM, STORAGE, items 256-264, col. 6, lines 40-42), and a base station manager (Fig. 2B, item 282; col. 6, lines 29-31).

Regarding **claim 27** and as applied to claim 22, Sharma et al. disclose an efficient wireless system aforementioned wherein said third means includes means for handing off said wireless communications device to a target frequency to facilitate load balancing between frequencies (col. 5, lines 54-60), said wireless communications device less likely than other wireless communications devices operating communicating via said given frequency that do not match said predetermined criterion to subsequently require handoff for load balancing purpose (col. 8, lines 65-67).

Regarding **claim 29** and as applied to claim 22, Sharma et al. disclose the system of claim 22 wherein said third means includes means for employing existing vertical neighbors and horizontal neighbors (candidate base stations, and overlaying cells or overlaying coverage subsequently meaning a neighborhood location, col. 14, lines 55-57) to said target frequency to select said target frequency so as to minimize instances of subsequent hard handoff (col. 14, lines 34-54).

Regarding **claim 31**, Sharma et al. disclose a system for strategically distributing communications system resources in a wireless communications system comprising: first means for monitoring traffic in a cell of said wireless communications system (col. 2, lines 18-20), said cell associated with a plurality frequencies (Fig. 7, item 704, cells associated with a plurality of frequencies, col. 10, lines 30-33), each frequency associated with a predetermined geographic region within said cell that may overlap one or more



other geographic regions within said cell (col. 10, lines 33-43, border zones are zones between multiple and single carrier frequency cells, See Fig. 8 for overlapping cells, items 802B and 804B as inner overlapping cells, items 802A and 804A as outside overlapping cells, and item 814 as an example of a border zone; See also col. 13, 45-48); second means for monitoring system resources in each cell and providing a resource status indication in response thereto; third means for comparing said resource status indication to predetermined criteria and providing a load reassignment command in response thereto (col. 13, lines 31-38); and fourth means for selectively reassigning network load among said plurality of frequencies in response to said load reassignment command to maintain said system resource status indication in concurrence with said criteria (col. 13, lines 49-52).

Regarding **claim 32**, Sharma et al. disclose a method for improving the efficiency of a wireless communications network (col. 2, lines 1-2, lines 14-15) that has a cell that accommodates a plurality of frequencies comprising the steps of: monitoring network load/capacity (capacity requests to each of the system/network base stations) associated with each of said plurality of frequencies (each base station generates a cell or coverage area using two or more frequency carriers; col. 1, 63-67; See also Fig. 7, item 704 for multiple frequency carrier cells) and providing corresponding status values (capacity indications) in response thereto; comparing said status values to predetermined thresholds (See col. 8, lines 5-63) and providing an indication in response thereto when one or more of said status values exceeds (See Fig. 10 for network distribution according to load or capacity responses/indications; col. 12, lines 49-50) one or more of said predetermined

Art Unit: 2686

thresholds (excess capacity value/ NEC, See col. 14, lines 58-61 thru lines 17-59 for predetermined thresholds); and redistributing said network load in accordance with said indication (col. 13, lines 49-52).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

5. **Claim 10** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sharma et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,069,871) in view of Kang et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,781,861).

Regarding **claim 10** and as applied to claim 9, Sharma et al. disclose a system with a base station transceiver subsystem and a call resource manager (Fig. 2B, item 282;

Art Unit: 2686

col. 6, lines 29-39). Sharma et al. fail to disclose a system wherein said call resource manager is positioned on a base station transceiver subsystem.

However, in the same field of endeavor Kang et al. disclose a call resource manager positioned on a base station transceiver subsystem (col. 5, lines 6-10).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have Sharma et al. call resource manager positioned in a base station as taught by Kang et al., for the purpose of allocating resources directly at the base station.

6. **Claims 12 - 20** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sharma et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,069,871) in view of Krutz et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,826,190).

Regarding **claims 12 and 13**, and as applied to claim 11, Sharma et al. disclose a system wherein said status message specifies that said corresponding frequency is available; said corresponding frequency is available for handoff only (col. 9, lines 3-11; col. 10, lines 18-27); or said corresponding frequency is unavailable (col. 8, line 65 – col. 9, line 3), wherein said message is incorporated according to the specific frequency availability (col. 9, lines 51-67). Sharma et al. fail to disclose that said corresponding frequency is available for emergency calls only.

However in the same of endeavor, Krutz et al. disclose a system where a status message specifies that a corresponding frequency is available for emergency calls only (Abstract; col. 9, lines 33-43).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have Sharma et al. status message for frequency handoff availability to include a specification in the status message for emergency calls handoff when a corresponding frequency is available for the specified matter as taught by Krutz et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,826,190). For the purpose of, such feature would allow for better handoff execution when an emergency call need allocation within a service or coverage area.

Regarding **claim 14** and as applied to claim 13, Sharma et al. in view of Krutz et al. disclose a system aforementioned. In addition to Sharma et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,826,190) disclose wherein said third means of the aforementioned system includes a load-balancing broker (The SBSC/selector bank subsystem controller is within an SBS/selector bank subsystem; col.6, lines 17-22) that receives said indication (Fig. 9, item 916; col. 12, lines 33-34), said load-balancing broker in communication with a pilot database and selector elements (Selector elements or selector bank, SEL; col. 6, lines 14-15).

Regarding **claim 15** and as applied to claim 14, Sharma et al. in view of Krutz et al. disclose a system aforementioned. In addition to Sharma et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,826,190) disclose wherein said selector elements (col. 6, lines 8-11) of the aforementioned system are positioned on a base station controller and are in communication with channel elements of a base station transceiver subsystem associated (col. 6 lines 32-39) with said cell and said corresponding frequency (col. 5, lines 27-36).

Regarding **claim 16** and as applied to claim 14, Sharma et al. in view of Krutz et al. disclose a system aforementioned. In addition to Sharma et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,826,190) disclose wherein said load-balancing broker of the aforementioned system includes means for determining mobile stations not currently undergoing handoff (mobile unit active set, col. 5, lines 42-54), operating within a predetermined restricted region about said base station transceiver subsystem (overlying cells are located and operate within a predetermined restricted region, mobile stations roam through the an overlying cell distributed network, Fig. 1; col. 4, lines 31-51; Fig. 6A and Fig. 6B, col. 9, lines 45-54), and associated with frequencies indicated via said indication and issuing a load shed request to said selector elements in response thereto(col. 12, lines 29-33).

Regarding **claim 17** and as applied to claim 16, Sharma et al. in view of Krutz et al. disclose a system aforementioned. In addition to Sharma et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,826,190) disclose wherein said selector elements of the aforementioned system include means for implementing handoff of a mobile station from a first frequency to a target frequency in accordance with load balancing handoff criteria (Fig. 6A and Fig. 6B, col. 9, line 45 – col. 10, line 27).

Regarding **claim 18** and as applied to claim 17, Sharma et al. in view of Krutz et al. disclose the aforementioned system. In addition to Sharma et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,826,190) disclose wherein said selector elements of the include means for providing a load shed response (capacity responses) to said load-balancing broker (SBSC, selector bank subsystem controller) in response to the receipt of said load shed request (capacity requests, col. 12, lines 29-37), said load shed response indicating if said mobile stations

were successfully handed off to available frequencies specified in said load shed request (col. 12, lines 60-64) via said means for implementing handoff (col. 12, lines 64-66).

Regarding **claim 19** and as applied to claim 18, Sharma et al. in view of Krutz et al. disclose a system of the aforementioned claims under this rejection. In addition to Sharma et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,826,190) disclose wherein said load-balancing handoff criteria of the above mentioned system specify that handoff is only allowed from said first frequency to a target frequency having a higher frequency availability value than said first frequency (col. 9, lines 3-11) and when said target frequency is within the same sector as said first frequency (col. 11, lines 44-61), said handoff criteria giving preference to target frequencies with higher frequency availability values (col. 9, lines 3-11).

Regarding **claim 20** and as applied to claim 18, Sharma et al. in view of Krutz et al. disclose the system of claim 18. In addition to Sharma et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,826,190) disclose wherein said means for determining of the aforementioned system includes a pilot database (col. 6 lines 8-9) and said selector elements, said pilot database including a vertical neighbor record specifying overlaying frequencies associated with each frequency (base stations that generate cells are queried for load balancing purposes it is inherent that in order for those base stations being queried they must be contained in a database col. 5, lines 52-53; and for handoff execution such as "hard" and "soft" the operating frequencies for those cell must be specified, col. 5, lines 54-60).

7. **Claim 21** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sharma et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,069,871) in view of Krutz et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,826,190) in further view of Bassirat (U.S. Pat. No. 6,122,513).

Regarding **claim 21** and as applied to claim 16, Sharma et al. in view of Krutz et al. disclose a system for improving the efficiency of wireless communications network employing a plurality of frequencies wherein a criterion is utilized to compare status values generated for means to compare those values to that criterion and said criterion including one or more predetermined thresholds. Sharma et al. in view of Krutz et al. fail to disclose a system wherein mobile stations operating within a restricted region are associated with round trip delays less than a configurable round trip delay threshold.

However in the same field of endeavor, Bassirat discloses a system wherein said criterion includes a round trip delay value less than a predetermined round trip delay threshold (RTD, col. 4, lines 22-36).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have Sharma et al. in view of Krutz et al. wireless system for means of transferring communications with an associated frequency in response to an indication employing a round trip delay value less than a predetermined round trip threshold as taught by Bassirat. For the purpose of a better location status when a mobile unit is making a transition within a coverage area.

8. **Claim 28** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sharma et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,069,871) in view of Bassirat (U.S. Pat. No. 6,122,513).

Regarding **claim 28** and as applied to claim 27, Sharma et al. disclose a wireless system for means of transferring said communications from said given frequency to a target frequency in response to an indication, wherein said resources monitoring provides when a predetermined criterion is matched. Sharma et al. fail to disclose a system wherein said criterion includes a round trip delay value less than a predetermined round trip delay threshold.

However in the same field of endeavor, Bassirat discloses a system wherein said criterion includes a round trip delay value less than a predetermined round trip delay threshold (RTD, col. 4, lines 22-36).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have Sharma et al. wireless system for means of transferring communications with an associated frequency in response to an indication employing a round trip delay value less than a predetermined round trip threshold as taught by Bassirat. For the purpose of a better location status when a mobile unit is making a transition within a coverage area.

9. **Claim 30** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sharma et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,069,871).

Regarding **claim 30** and as applied to claim 29, Sharma et al. disclose a system mentioned above wherein said means for employing existing vertical and horizontal neighbors to a target frequency (col. 14, lines 55-57) includes generating a frequency availability value and selecting said target frequency to have a high frequency availability



(col. 9, lines 3-11). Sharma et al. fail to disclose a frequency availability value that is inversely related to the number of horizontal and vertical neighbors of said target frequency.

However in the same field of endeavor, it is obvious that when a mobile station is approaching an overlapping cell distributed network range distances between the mobile station and neighboring overlapping cells upholding different frequencies are relatively small rather than when a cell is not approaching that particular service area, and priority is given to a set of frequencies near the mobile station location for handoff execution, generally speaking corresponding cell frequency priority is high while proximity for neighboring cells is small.

### ***Conclusion***

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- i. Lee (U.S. Pat. No. 6,393,003)
- ii. Huang et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,611,506)
- iii. Fleming (U.S. Pat. No. 6,002,676)
- iv. Boscovic et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,490,452)

11. Any response to this Office Action should be **faxed to** (703) 872-9314 or **mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

**Hand-delivered** responses should be brought to

Crystal Park II  
2021 Crystal Drive  
Arlington, VA 22202  
Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication on earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Ismael Quiñones whose telephone number is (703) 305-8997. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am to 5:00pm.

13. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold can be reached on (703) 305-4379. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9301.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose number is (703) 305-4700 or call customer service at (703) 306-0377.

*Ismael Quiñones*

I.Q.

November 14, 2003

*Marsha D Banks-Harold*  
MARSHA D. BANKS-HAROLD  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600